1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY	Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR
12	PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL No. 3047
13		[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT
14	This Document Relates to:	ORDER NO. 3 - DIRECT FILING ORDER
15	ALL ACTIONS	
16		
17	I. Scope of Order	
18	This Order shall govern all actions in the above-captioned MDL proceeding ("the MDL")	
19	that are directly filed in this District as a constituent case of the MDL after the date of this Order.	
20	II. <u>Direct Filing of Actions into the MDL</u>	
21	A. Direct Filing: To eliminate potential delays associated with transfer to this Court	
22	of actions filed in or removed to other federal district courts, and to promote judicial efficiency,	
23	any Plaintiff whose case would be subject to transfer to MDL No. 3047 as a "tag-along" case	
24	may, subject to the provisions set forth below, file his or her action against one or more	
25	Defendants hereto in this District as a constituent case of the MDL rather than in the federal	
26	district court in which the Plaintiff would have filed his or her case in the absence of this direct	
27	filing order. Defendants reserve the right to object to the inclusion of any such action in this	
28	MDL, including on the grounds that it is not p	properly within the scope of this MDL.
	.1	

23

24

25

26

27

28

- **B.** Single Plaintiff Only: Cases directly filed in this Court pursuant to this Order shall not name more than a single Plaintiff in the case, provided, however, that any such case may include consortium plaintiff(s) as permitted by law; a parent or guardian of a minor Plaintiff as permitted by law; and, in the event of a wrongful death action, the appropriate representative(s) of the Estate.
- C. Pretrial Proceedings Only; No Lexecon Waiver: Each action filed in this District will become a constituent case in the MDL for pretrial proceedings only, consistent with the JPML's October 6, 2022, Transfer Order (ECF No. 1). The coordination of constituent cases in MDL proceedings, including agreement by the Plaintiffs and Defendants to this direct filing order, does not constitute a waiver of any party's rights under Lexecon, Inc. v. Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach, 523 U.S. 26 (1998) ("Lexecon"). However, nothing in this Order shall preclude the parties from agreeing to such waivers in the future.
- D. **Designated Forum.** Any plaintiff who directly filed a complaint in MDL No. 3047 shall designate the federal district court in which the complaint should be deemed to have been originally filed (i.e., the Northern District of California or the district to which the plaintiff wishes to have her or his complaint transferred ultimately, as provided by this Order). Plaintiffs shall allege in their complaints facts to support personal jurisdiction and venue in the designated district. Such designation shall not, standing alone, constitute a determination by this Court that jurisdiction or venue is proper in the designated forum. At the completion of all pretrial proceedings applicable to such cases, and subject to any agreement that may be reached concerning a waiver of the requirements for transfer pursuant to Lexecon, this Court shall transfer such cases to the federal district court identified by the Plaintiff upon filing (unless the Northern District of California was specified as plaintiffs' preferred forum). The parties reserve all rights with respect to the proper venue for remand and any post-remand jurisdictional, venue, or forum challenges or motions, including pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) or under forum-selection provisions in Defendants' respective applicable terms of service, and including any objections to venue if the venue where the plaintiff indicates he or she would have filed the case is itself not a proper venue under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

1 TikTok Inc.; ByteDance Inc. 2 TikTokNoticeofService@faegredrinker.com 3 Alphabet Inc.; Google LLC; YouTube, LLC SERVICE-YOUTUBE-INRESOCIALMEDIAM@LIST.WSGR.COM 4 5 Defendants' e-mail systems will generate an automated response to the sender upon receipt of an 6 e-mail to each of the designated addresses. The automated response will confirm receipt of the e-7 mail to that e-mail address and shall constitute proof of service upon the Defendants who have 8 agreed to service at that e-mail address per this Order. Defendants will not otherwise respond to 9 e-mails sent to the above e-mail addresses. Plaintiffs shall make proof of electronic service to the 10 Court as required by Rule 4(l)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. For all Complaints 11 filed in, removed to, or transferred to this MDL: (i) all requests for issuance of summons shall be 12 made in the underlying constituent case, and not through the MDL Master Docket File; (ii) all 13 proofs of service shall be filed only in the underlying constituent case and not in the MDL Master 14 Docket File. Acceptance of electronic service shall not constitute a waiver of any defense. 15 H. Filing Fees. Internet credit card payments shall be required for all electronically 16 filed complaints, and made online through pay gov. Plaintiff's counsel will be prompted to pay 17 the required filing fee. Information regarding filing fees may be found at 18 https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/ecf/payments. 19 I. Response to Directly Filed Complaints. Defendants need not move, plead, or 20 otherwise respond to any Complaint filed in this District as a member case of the MDL until so 21 ordered by the Court. With the exception of the Master Complaint(s), until further order of this 22 Court, all Complaints filed in the MDL, any tag along actions transferred into this MDL, and all 23 cases directly filed in this Court or transferred or removed to this Court for inclusion in the MDL, 24 are deemed answered and denied, without waiver of any defense, and with full preservation of all 25 arguments and defenses to be raised in Defendants' anticipated motions to dismiss. 26 ///

27

28

///

///

1	It Is So Ordered.	
2		
3		
4		YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		CASE MANAGEMENT OPPER VO. 2. PYRICE